

University of Konstanz · 78457 Konstanz, GERMANY

Quality Assurance

Christine Pickert
Course Evaluation

Universitaetsstrasse 10 78464 Konstanz +49 7531 88-4072

lve@uni-konstanz.de www.uni-konstanz.de/qualitaetsmanagement

Dr. Thomas Malang

(personal)

Report Course Evaluation

Please find attached the feedback the students gave on your course. To facilitate the interpretation of the results, we have compiled some information for you:

Your report contains an indicator, so you can easily compare your course to others from your department and also use it as a basis for the discussion with your students. You will also find an overview of the averages of the indicator over the past four semesters in all departments (see p. 2).

The indicator *LLI (Lehr-Lern-Index)* is calculated from the arithmetic mean of the questions 2-7 in the area "Teaching & Learning" in the questionnaire. As another indicator you can also find the question of the overall satisfaction (asked in every course).

The Educational Development Team of the Academic Staff Development supports all lecturers in further developing their teaching competence. You can choose your topics from a broad spectrum of service offers in different formats, such as individual advising, (teaching) coaching, short presentations and workshops. In a personal meeting formats and topics can be compiled that meet your individual requirements. You can find more information on page 3 of this letter.

According to the evaluation regulations you shall discuss the results of the evaluation with your students. This is why the course evaluation takes place in the middle of the semester. Of course you can use the different presentation formats of the report.

By statute, the deans of studies and the members of the Committee on Teaching can inspect the evaluation results in a password-protected area of the QM homepage. This does not apply to additionally/voluntarily evaluated

I hope you will gain valuable information and will gladly answer any of your questions.

Yours faithfully

Christine Pickert

Course Evaluation

Dear lecturers,

in order to give you feedback about the students' view on your course at a glace, Quality Management developed the questions in the area "Teaching and Learning" in cooperation with the Centre for Teaching and Learning in Higher Education. They contain important factors that promote sustainable learning.

Here you can find short explanations of the questions as well as a selection of the offers of the Centre of Teaching and Learning:

The Center for Teaching and Learning as part of the

Academic Staff Development supports all lecturers in their pursuit of teaching excellence. Our Workshop-Programme covers a great variety of topics; the individual coaching format allows you to work very specifically on your objectives.

Individual Topics:

- Your course evaluations as a basis for individual development
- Refining your teaching formats
- How to manage difficult situations in teaching

Workshop-Programme:

- Teaching your first course in English
- Planning your courses effectively
- Moderation in teaching contexts
 - New Media in teaching

The popular series of lunch-break presentations "Hochschuldidaktik über Mittag" continually offers you new inspiration for your teaching practice.

Our services can be tailored to meet the specific requirements of your course, faculty or individual career plans.

Don't hesitate to contact us!

Hochschuldidaktik - information and contact:

hochschuldidaktik@uni-konstanz.de uni.kn/asd/hochschuldidaktik

ITEMS:

The learning objectives of this course are clearly communicated.

With the help of learning objectives the students understand what they shall do with the content. The clear communication of learning objectives creates transparency. The students know why they shall learn something and what they might need it for in other situations in life.

I understand the way the course is structured (content-wise).

A logical order is crucial to make the content comprehensible while studying. This is true of the entire semester as well as single classes. A recurring theme supports the chance of learning success and thus the motivation.

Examples from real life or research help me to better understand the subject matter.

The practical relevance of the subject matter is demonstrated as this is important to enhance the learning motivation. Specific examples as well as novel, unexpected and special information capture the attention of the students and thus improve their learning success.

On request the teacher gives me valuable feedback and information.

Feedback in the courses and/or office hours helps the students to assess their learning progress and success. An important task of the teachers is to enable and guide their students to study autonomously.



Average Index LLI (Summersemester 2015 until Wintersemester 2016/2017)

Department	Lecture			Pro-/Seminar				Tutorial				
	μ (LLI)	s	n	Number of surveys	μ (LLI)	s	n	Number of surveys	μ (LLI)	s	n	Number of surveys
Biology ⁽¹⁾	1,98	0,89	4.256	113	1,92	0,93	129	7	1,8	0,87	1.015	47
Chemistry ⁽¹⁾	2,03	0,94	3.027	80	1,88	0,91	539	21	2,11	0,97	167	5
Computer Science/Information Engineering ⁽¹⁾	1,91	0,92	1.976	88	1,61	0,9	361	33	2,08	1	870	57
Economics ⁽¹⁾	2,12	0,98	6.416	166	1,59	0,75	1.181	101	2,16	0,96	6.403	272
History/Educational Science/Sociology/ Sport Science ^{(1),(2)}	2,06	0,99	2.978	73	1,62	0,8	4.937	368	1,74	0,88	2.079	111
Law ^{(1),(2)}	1,94	0,94	7.466	191	1,42	0,63	234	19	1,88	0,89	5.428	302
Linguistics	1,75	0,78	178	3	1,9	0,93	2.157	128				
Literature ^{(1),(2)}	2,05	0,98	1.000	27	1,59	0,76	3.662	204	1,69	0,83	284	24
Mathematics ⁽³⁾	2,03	0,98	1.505	70								
Philosophy ⁽⁴⁾	1,91	0,95	280	9	1,72	0,84	1.003	81				
Physics ⁽⁵⁾	2,08	0,97	1.951	72	1,8	0,87	220	16	2,04	0,92	85	3
Politics and Public Administration ⁽²⁾	2,24	1,06	3.438	34	1,63	0,78	4.050	305	1,83	0,87	3.765	217
Psychology	2,01	0,98	3.036	38	1,63	0,84	3.906	208	1,78	0,92	623	39
Ø over all departments ⁽⁶⁾	2,01		37.507	964	1,69		22.379	1.491	1,91		20.719	1.077

The items, that are mentioned in the index LLI are the following:

Complex issues are explained in a way which is easy to understand.

We do not publish a result if there are only two courses or less.

- (1) Seminar/other
- (2) Tutorial/AG/Colloquium
- (3) without student tutorials
- (4) Lecture/Kernkurs
- (5) Seminar/Laboratory
- (6) arithmetic mean over all departments

The structure of the course is clear.

I feel I can ask questions and make comments at any time.

The use of practical examples and eamples from research help me to understand the material better.


I get useful feedback and advice from the lecturer when I asl.

I can summarize the important terms and concepts that are taught in this course.

Dr. Thomas Malang



Agricultural Policy in European and Global Perspective (POL-SoSe_17_73) No. of responses = 16



What is your main subject? (Teaching degree: both main subjects) n=16 BAST / English 0% Biology 0% Chemistry 0% German (language / philology) 0% History 0% Computer Science / Information Engineering 0% Life Science 0% Literature MA 0% Literature-Art-Media 0% Mathematics 0% Mathematical Finance 0% Philosophy / Ethics 0%

Physics 0%

Politics and Public Administration 87.5%

Psychology 0%

Law 0%

Romance studies (French / Italian / Spanish) 0%

Slavonic Studies (incl. East. Europ. Studies, Russian) 0%

Sociology 0%

Sports / Sports Science 0%
Linguistics 0%

Economics 0% other (please note below): 12.5%

AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

Why are you attending this course (you can give more than one reason)?

It is obligatory 25%

Out of interest 81.3%

Because of the lecturer 12.5%


It fits my timetable 31.3%

It is relevant for my exams 25%
Other reasons: 6.3%

one of few modules I can take that is relevant to my study (student

Teaching & Learning


1) The learning objectives of this course are clear.



strongly disagree


n=16 av.=1,75 md=2 dev.=0,68


n=16



15) How many hours per week do you normally spend wo	orking for this cou	rse (not including present	ations and ter	m papers)?	
	0		0%	6	n=16
	1		18	.8%	
	2		31	.3%	
	3		31	.3%	
	4+		18	3.8%	
16) For each ECTS point you are expected to do 25-30 ho outside class.) How does the amount of work you do com course?	ours of work. (For pare with the amo	a 3 ECTS course this ar count of work expected for	nounts to about the ECTS po	ut 4 hours a	week d for this
	far too little		6.7	7%	n=15 av.=3,13
	too little		0%	6	dev.=0,83
	just right		73	.3%	
	too much		13	.3%	
	far too much		6.7	7%	
17) The demands of this course are:					
	far too low		0%	6	n=16 av.=3,25
	too low		0%	ó	dev.=0,45
	just right		75	%	
	too high		25	%	
	far too high		0%	, 0	
18) The amount of time required for this course is:					
	far too little		0%	ó	n=15 av.=3,13
	too little		0%	ó	dev.=0,35
	just right		86	5.7%	
	too high		13	.3%	
	far too high		0%	ó	
19) The level of subject knowledge that you are expected	to have when yo	u start this course is:	. – – – – –		
	far too low		0%	6	n=15 av.=3
	too low		13	.3%	dev.=0,53
	just right		73	.3%	
	too high		13	.3%	
	far too high		0%	, 0	
20) In general I am very satisfied with the course.	strongly agree	6 7 2 0 40% 46,7% 13,3% 0%	0 0% 	ongly disagree	n=15 av.=1,73 md=2 dev.=0,7
21) The class is well planned and all the required material can be covered.	strongly agree	6 9 0 0 40% 60% 0% 0%	0 0% stro	ongly disagree	n=15 av.=1,6 md=2 dev.=0,51
		1 2 3 4	5		

Workload





n=15 av.=1,6 md=2 dev.=0.63

Instructor Evaluation			
23) The lecturer seems well prepared.	strongly agree	9 6 0 0 0 60% 40% 0% 0% 0% strongly disagree	n=15 av.=1,4 md=1 dev.=0,51
24) The lecturer can present the subject matter in a comprehensible manner.	strongly agree	1 2 3 4 5 10 5 0 0 0 0 66,7% 33,3% 0% 0% 0% strongly disagree	n=15 av.=1,33 md=1 dev.=0,49
25) The lecturer is open-minded and cooperative.	strongly agree	11 3 0 1 0 0 73,3% 20% 0% 6,7% 0% strongly disagree	n=15 av.=1,4 md=1 dev.=0,83
26) I receive effective guidance from the instructor/instructors.	strongly agree	7 4 4 0 0 0 46,7% 26,7% 0% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	n=15 av.=1,8 md=2 dev.=0,86
27) The oral presentations are structured and comprehensible.	strongly agree	6 5 3 0 0 0 42,9% 35,7% 21,4% 0% 0% strongly disagree	n=14 av.=1,79 md=2 dev.=0,8
28) Feedback provided by the instructor for students making an oral presentation is helpful.	strongly agree	5 4 3 2 0 35,7% 28,6% 21,4% 14,3% 0% strongly disagree	n=14 av.=2,14 md=2 dev.=1,1
29) The discussions are well-structured and help to explore given topics in grater depth.	strongly agree	8 5 2 0 0 53,3% 33,3% 13,3% 0% 0% strongly disagree	n=15 av.=1,6 md=1 dev.=0,74

21) What I find good about the course is ...

- vuy nice and open atmosphere in which you are always unvited to cuscus's

The lectures are interactive and funny. There is always a lot of room for discussion and applying what you've learnt. Also I like the focus on teaching quantitative methods.

open, friendly lecturer

The	active	obtscussion of	each	<i>6</i> **	Oowrse	gives	me	æ	lot	new	Tdeas.
		port									

labsolutely love the way the course it structured, the dispessions are great, the lectures are very interesting and it is one of the most interesting courses (nave taken

the lecturer is well prepared

22) What I do not find so good about the course is ...

The lectures should make more clearly and get to

There are many terms and case attal not cleanly explained or explored.

1 think some readings could be more significant! wheresting-But they provide the context I need.

23) I would like to make the following suggestions for improving the course:

more examples

- it would be so so helpfull to have more information on how to proceed with the term paper right at the beginning of the rouse and also keedback for the presentations of the rouse and also keedback for the presentations

more case studies of policy implications.

Profile

Subunit:

Fachbereich Politik- und Verwaltungswissenschaft

Name of the instructor:

Dr. Thomas Malang


Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

Agricultural Policy in European and Global Perspective

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Teaching & Learning

- 1) The learning objectives of this course are clear.
- 2) Complex issues are explained in a way which is easy to understand.
- 3) The structure of the course is clear.
- 4) I feel I can ask questions and make comments at any time.
- 5) The use of practical examples and examples from research help me to understand the material better.
- 6) I get useful feedback and advice from the lecturer when I ask.
- 7) I can summarize the important terms and concepts that are taught in this course.
- 8) The opportunity to discuss the topics helps me to understand them better.
- 9) The lecturer's input to the discussions is helpful.
- 10) The assessment criteria are clear.



n=16 av.=1,75 md=2,00 dev.=0,68 n=16 av.=1,69 md=2,00 dev.=0,70

n=16 av.=1,56 md=1,50 dev.=0,63

n=16 av.=1,38 md=1,00 dev.=0,72

n=15 av.=1,87 md=2,00 dev.=0,83

av.=1.75 md=2.00 dev.=0.68

n=16

n=16 av.=2.06 md=2.00 dev.=0.85


n=16 av.=1,50 md=1,00 dev.=0,63

n=16 av.=1,31 md=1,00 dev.=0,48

n=16 av.=1,81 md=2,00 dev.=0,75

Other Factors

- 11) The room for this course is the right size and suitably equipped.
- 12) I was already very interested in the topic BEFORE I started the course.
- 13) In this course I can make extensive use of what I have learned in other courses.
- 14) The number of students in the course is acceptable.



n=16 av.=1,56 md=1,50 dev.=0,63


n=16 av.=1,81 md=2,00 dev.=0,91

n=16 av.=2,56 md=2,50 dev.=1,15

n=15 av.=1,40 md=1,00 dev.=0,51

Workload

- 20) In general I am very satisfied with the course.
- 21) The class is well planned and all the required material can be covered.
- 22) Please evaluate the course overall.



n=15 av.=1,73 md=2,00 dev.=0,70

n=15 av.=1,60 md=2,00 dev.=0,51

n=15 av.=1,60 md=2,00 dev.=0,63

Instructor Evaluation



- 24) The lecturer can present the subject matter in a comprehensible manner.
- 25) The lecturer is open-minded and cooperative.
- 26) I receive effective guidance from the instructor/instructors.
- 27) The oral presentations are structured and comprehensible.
- 28) Feedback provided by the instructor for students making an oral presentation is helpful.
- 29) The discussions are well-structured and help to explore given topics in grater depth.



e	n=15	av.=1,40 md=1,00 dev.=0,51
Đ	n=15	av.=1,33 md=1,00 dev.=0,49
2	n=15	av.=1,40 md=1,00 dev.=0,83
e e	n=15	av.=1,80 md=2,00 dev.=0,86
e e	n=14	av.=1,79 md=2,00 dev.=0,80
e	n=14	av.=2,14 md=2,00 dev.=1,10
e	n=15	av.=1,60 md=1,00 dev.=0,74